



Elder Ghigiarelli -MDE- <elder.ghigiarelli@maryland.gov>

---

## Conowingo Dam License Renewal

---

**Jim Wasson** <jwasson@comcast.net>  
To: elder.ghigiarelli@maryland.gov

Wed, Jan 3, 2018 at 5:43 PM

Have you noticed that the sediment backed up behind Conowingo Dam was not created by its operations? So why penalize them for what is generated by upstream sources? If your assertion is that the dam made it collect there, have you thought about where that sediment would be without the dam? Yes, it would be clogging the mouth of the Susquehanna River and the northern portions of the Chesapeake Bay, where it would make the waters more shallow, and be spread out and more difficult and expensive to remove.

If you do not renew the Dam's license to operate, how will you replace the energy it produces without adding to pollution created by alternative energy sources? If the dam's license is not renewed, what are you going to do with the dam? Leave it there to continue generating the minor concerns of special interests, or remove it at an expense that monies are not available for?

Keeping the Conowingo Dam in operation makes environmental sense, economic sense, energy sense and common sense.

James Wasson, BS, MS, MSA