

Presentation Outline

- Overview of Technical tools and Schedule
- Phase 5.3.2 Landuse
 - Scale
 - Overview
 - Getting into the details
 - Refining the urban sectors
- Next Set of Handouts Example





Overview of Technical Tools and Schedule





Definitions

- Watershed Model Used to estimate nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment loads from the land that are delivered to the Bay
- **Phase 5.3.2** The revised watershed model used for the Phase II WIP
- Scenario Builder Pre-processor for the Phase 5.3.2 watershed model
- MAST Maryland Assessment and Scenario Tool. Consistent with P5.3.2 and Scenario Builder







Description	Date
Webinar, Intro to MAST	April 13, 2011
Webinar, EPA Bay watershed landuse in MAST	May 16, 2011
MD provides watershed model landuse, in MAST format, to teams	Late May, 2011
Webinar, 2010 progress BMPs in MAST	June, 2011
MD provides current progress BMPs, in MAST format, to teams	Late June, 2011
EPA Release 5.3.2 w/ scenarios	June 30, 2011
MAST Release	June 30, 2011
MAST training sessions	July 2011
Revised State allocation from EPA	July 15, 2011
Revised County targets from MD	August 15, 2011
Draft WIP from County	November 1, 2011
Draft WIP to EPA	December 1, 2011
Public comment begins (30 days) - Tentative	January 15, 2012
EPA provides comments	January 31, 2012
Public comment ends	February 15, 2012
Final WIP to EPA	March 30, 2012





Using MAST

- Reasons to Use MAST
 - MAST is designed to be consistent with the EPA CBP P5.3.2 watershed model which is being used to "grade" the Phase II WIP and milestone progress
 - MAST is the required input format for the MD Phase II WIP
 - MAST exports scenario information for direct input into EPA models (integrated)
 - Maryland has dedicated resources to provide necessary training and support
 - EPA will likely adopt MAST to work at the Bay watershed scale (continued use and consistency)





CBP P5.3.2 LANDUSE







- Land-River Segment Smallest segment in the watershed model (approx 560 in MD)
- County Segment County geographic boundary. Includes all source sectors within boundary (24 county segments in MD)
- **TMDL Segment** Watersheds that drain to the 92 Bay Segments (58 in Maryland)





Three Segment Scales











CBP P5.3.2 Land Use Overview

- Tabular data derived from multiple sources
- 31 Classifications
 - 2 Forest
 - 17 Agricultural
 - 6 Urban
 - 2 Construction
 - 3 Extractive
 - 1 Water





CBP Agriculture

- Final tabular land use classifications
 - Crop (11)
 - Nutrient management high till w/o manure
 - Nutrient management high till w/ manure
 - Nutrient management low till
 - High till w/o manure
 - High till w/ manure
 - Low till w/ manure
 - Alfalfa
 - Nutrient management alfalfa
 - Hay w/o nutrients
 - Hay w/ nutrients
 - Nutrient management hay
 - Pasture (3)
 - Pasture
 - Nutrient management pasture
 - Trampled pasture
 - Nursery (1)
 - AFO and CAFO (2)





CBP Urban

- NPDES Regulated (MD Expanded to 14)
 - Impervious
 - Pervious
- Non-regulated
 - Impervious
 - Pervious
- CSS
 - Impervious
 - Pervious
 - Note: all pervious urban assumed to be turf grass





... The details







- Tabular land use development
 - Data Sources
 - USDA Agricultural Census data
 - 1982, 1987, 1992, 1997, 2002, and 2007
 - County scale
 - Distributed to land-river segments based on satellite data
 - » 2006 Landsat satellite imagery





Estimating Urban Land

- Source Data
 - Satellite data 2006 Landsat imagery
 - Roads NAVTEQ
 - Road widths
 - Single detached housing units US Census Bureau
 - Rural lot sizes (acres) MDP Propertyview
 - Impervious coefficients
 - RESAC impervious grid
 - Residential lot analysis
 - Turf grass coefficients
 - Land cover proportions within buffered rural roads





Local Urban Data Comparison

P5.3.2 Impervious land use comparison to current county planimetric data







Estimating Other Land Uses

- Extractive
 - State mining permit data
 - GIS layer
- Construction
 - State permit data
 - County scale
- Water
 - Satellite data
- Forest
 - Satellite data







- Revised CBP P5.3.2 land use greatly improves both total urban and urban impervious estimates
- Revised CBP P5.3.2 land use compares well to local county data





...Expanding the urban sector categories





Expanded Urban Source Sectors

- Completed by MDE
- Further Refinement
 - NPDES Regulated (Impervious/Pervious)
 - County Phase I MS4s
 - County Phase II MS4s
 - Municipal Phase II MS4s
 - SHA Phase I and II MS4
 - State Phase II MS4
 - Federal Phase II MS4
 - Industrial
 - Non-regulated (Impervious/Pervious)
 - Low density (large lot) residential development
 - No stormwater conveyance systems
 - Stormwater conveyance systems not owned or operated by the county or municipality
 - State owned development in non-MS4 counties
 - Federally owned development in non-MS4 counties
 - SHA owned roads in non-MS4 counties





Refining Urban Sectors







County and Mun. Phase I/II MS4

- Jurisdictional boundaries
 - County
 - P5.3.2 land segments
 - Municipal
 - MDP Propertyview Data
- NPDES regulated portion
 - "Core Urban"
 - Census Urbanized Areas









Phase I/II Example













MARYLAND Smart, Green & Growing State Highway Administration

- **SHA**
 - Phase I MS4
 - SHA Phase I MS4 impervious cover delineation
 - Right-of-way estimate
 - **RESAC** transportation
 - Phase II MS4 and Non-regulated
 - MDP Propertyview road data
 - Impervious area estimate
 - » Interstates and state roads
 - » Assumed number of lanes
 - » Lane widths (SHA design manual)
 - Right-of-way estimate
 - » **RESAC** transportation













State and Federal

- State Phase II MS4
 - DNR Public Properties data layer
 - MDP Propertyview
 - Extract state owned exempt properties
- Federal Phase II MS4
 - CBP P5.3.2 federal land-river segments
 - DNR Public Properties data layer
 - Extract federally owned lands
 - MDP Propertyview
 - Extract federally owned exempt properties
- Non-regulated state and federal
 - Within non-MS4 counties
 - Same methods







Industrial Stormwater

- General industrial stormwater permits
- Process water permits with stormwater requirements
 - MDE permit applications
 - Geographic coordinates







Expanded Urban Sectors







Next Set of Handouts

...Land Use











MO County – Agriculture

30,000 25,000 20,000 Area (acres) 15,000 10,000 5,000 0 Nut. Mgmt. Hi Till w/Manure Nut. Mgmt. Hi Till w/o Manure Pasture Nut. Mgmt. Pasture Hi Till w/Manure Hi Till w/o Manure Nut. Mgmt. Hay Trampled Pasture Nursery AFO CAFO Nut. Mgmt. Low Till Alfalfa Nut. Mgmt. Alfalfa Low Till w/Manure Hay w/Nuts. Hay w/o Nuts. **Agcricultural Classification**

Total Agriculture





MO County – Urban







MO County – Forest









- Revise expanded urban land use disaggregation once 2010 post-BMP land use (i.e., 2010 progress scenario land use) is finalized
- Provide land use summaries to counties by end of May
- BMP information in late June

