# SUPPLEMENTAL AST CHARACTERIZATION REPORT

# AREA B: PARCEL B19 TANK FARM TRADEPOINT ATLANTIC SPARROWS POINT, MARYLAND

Prepared For:



## **ENVIROANALYTICS GROUP, LLC**

1515 Des Peres Road, Suite 300 Saint Louis, Missouri 63131

Prepared By:



#### **ARM GROUP LLC**

9175 Guilford Road Suite 310 Columbia, Maryland 21046

ARM Project No. 20010219

Respectfully Submitted,

(da)

Ryan Clancy Staff Engineer

Mul Pets

T. Neil Peters, P.E. Senior Vice President

Revision 0 – August 12, 2020

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0 INT	RODUCTION1
1.1. Si	te History
1.2. O	ojectives
2.0 ENV	IRONMENTAL SETTING
2.1. La	nd Use and Surface Features
2.2. Re	gional Geology
2.3. Si	te Geology
3.0 SITE	E INVESTIGATION
3.1. Sa	mple Target Identification
3.2. So	il Investigation
3.3. G	roundwater Investigation
3.4. M	anagement of Investigation-Derived Waste (IDW)7
4.0 ANA	ALYTICAL RESULTS
4.1. So	il Conditions
4.1.1.	Soil Conditions: Organic Compounds9
4.1.2.	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents
4.1.2. 4.1.3.	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents9Soil Conditions: Results Summary10
4.1.2. 4.1.3. 4.2. Gr	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents    9      Soil Conditions: Results Summary    10      roundwater Conditions    10
4.1.2. 4.1.3. 4.2. Gr 4.2.1.	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents       9         Soil Conditions: Results Summary       10         roundwater Conditions       10         Groundwater Conditions: Organic Compounds       10
4.1.2. 4.1.3. 4.2. Gr 4.2.1. 4.2.2.	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents9Soil Conditions: Results Summary10roundwater Conditions10Groundwater Conditions: Organic Compounds10Groundwater Conditions: Inorganic Constituents11
4.1.2. 4.1.3. 4.2. Gr 4.2.1. 4.2.2. 4.2.3.	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents9Soil Conditions: Results Summary10roundwater Conditions10Groundwater Conditions: Organic Compounds10Groundwater Conditions: Inorganic Constituents11Groundwater Conditions: Results Summary11
4.1.2. 4.1.3. 4.2. Gr 4.2.1. 4.2.2. 4.2.3. 5.0 QUA	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents9Soil Conditions: Results Summary10roundwater Conditions10Groundwater Conditions: Organic Compounds10Groundwater Conditions: Inorganic Constituents11Groundwater Conditions: Results Summary11ALITY ASSURANCE & QUALITY CONTROL12
4.1.2. 4.1.3. 4.2. Gr 4.2.1. 4.2.2. 4.2.3. 5.0 QUA 6.0 FINI	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents9Soil Conditions: Results Summary10roundwater Conditions10Groundwater Conditions: Organic Compounds10Groundwater Conditions: Inorganic Constituents11Groundwater Conditions: Results Summary11ALITY ASSURANCE & QUALITY CONTROL12DINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS13
4.1.2. 4.1.3. 4.2. Gr 4.2.1. 4.2.2. 4.2.3. 5.0 QUA 6.0 FIND 6.1. So	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents9Soil Conditions: Results Summary10roundwater Conditions10Groundwater Conditions: Organic Compounds10Groundwater Conditions: Inorganic Constituents11Groundwater Conditions: Results Summary11ALITY ASSURANCE & QUALITY CONTROL12DINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS13ii13
4.1.2. 4.1.3. 4.2. Gr 4.2.1. 4.2.2. 4.2.3. 5.0 QUA 6.0 FIND 6.1. So 6.2. Gr	Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents9Soil Conditions: Results Summary10roundwater Conditions10Groundwater Conditions: Organic Compounds10Groundwater Conditions: Inorganic Constituents11Groundwater Conditions: Results Summary11ALITY ASSURANCE & QUALITY CONTROL12DINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS13iil13roundwater13



# TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

## FIGURES

Figure 1	Area A and Area B Parcels	Following Text
Figure 2	1916 Shoreline Map	Following Text
Figure 3	Sample Locations	.Following Text
Figure 4	Soil Inorganic PAL Exceedances	Following Text
Figure 5	Groundwater SVOC PAL Exceedances	Following Text
Figure 6	Groundwater TPH/O&G PAL Exceedances	.Following Text
Figure 7	Groundwater Inorganic PAL Exceedances	.Following Text

### TABLES

Characterization Results for Solid IDW	Following Text
Characterization Results for Liquid IDW	Following Text
Summary of Organics Detected in Soil	.Following Text
Summary of Inorganics Detected in Soil	Following Text
Summary of Organics Detected in Groundwater	Following Text
Summary of Inorganics Detected in Groundwater	Following Text
Cumulative Vapor Intrusion Comparison	.Following Text
	Characterization Results for Solid IDW Characterization Results for Liquid IDW Summary of Organics Detected in Soil Summary of Inorganics Detected in Soil Summary of Organics Detected in Groundwater Summary of Inorganics Detected in Groundwater Cumulative Vapor Intrusion Comparison

# APPENDICES

Appendix A	Final Sample Summary Table	Following Text
Appendix B	Soil Boring and Piezometer Construction Logs	Following Text
Appendix C	PID Calibration Log	Following Text
Appendix D	Groundwater Purge Logs	Following Text
Appendix E	Project-Specific IDW Drum Log	Following Text
Appendix F	QA/QC Tracking Log	Following Text
Appendix G	Piezometer Abandonment Forms	Following Text

## **ELECTRONIC ATTACHMENTS**

Soil Laboratory Certificates of Analysis	Electronic Attachmen	nt
Groundwater Laboratory Certificates of Analy	ysis Electronic Attachme	nt



# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

ARM Group LLC (ARM), on behalf of EnviroAnalytics Group, LLC (EAG), has completed a Supplemental Characterization Investigation of a portion of the Tradepoint Atlantic property (formerly Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC) that has been designated within Area B: Parcel B19 at the location of the historical Pennwood Storage Tank Farm (the Site). This investigation targeted the former locations of four aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) within the tank farm. Following the removal of the ASTs, the MDE requested that supplemental investigation work be conducted to evaluate the possibility of subsurface contamination associated with these historical ASTs.

Parcel B19 is located within the approximately 3,100-acre former steel making facility (**Figure 1**). This AST investigation supplemented the original Phase II Investigation of Parcel B19 completed in May 2017. The findings of the original Phase II Investigation were presented in the Phase II Investigation Report for Parcel B19 (Revision 0 dated April 9, 2018). The original Phase II Investigation was conducted in accordance with the approved Parcel B19 Phase II Investigation Work Plan (Revision 1 dated August 9, 2016).

The Supplemental Characterization Investigation was performed in accordance with procedures outlined in the approved AST Characterization Work Plan for Parcel B19 (dated May 8, 2020). This Work Plan was approved by the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) on May 12, 2020 in compliance with requirements pursuant to the following:

- Administrative Consent Order (ACO) between Tradepoint Atlantic (formerly Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC) and the MDE effective September 12, 2014; and
- Settlement Agreement and Covenant Not to Sue (SA) between Tradepoint Atlantic (formerly Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC) and the USEPA effective November 25, 2014.

Parcel B19 is part of the acreage that was removed (Carveout Area) from inclusion in the Multimedia Consent Decree between Bethlehem Steel Corporation, the USEPA, and the MDE (effective October 8, 1997) as documented in correspondence received from the USEPA on September 12, 2014. Based on this agreement, the USEPA determined that no further investigation or corrective measures will be required under the terms of the Consent Decree for the Carveout Area. However, the SA reflects that the property within the Carveout Area will remain subject to the USEPA's Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Corrective Action authorities.

An application to enter the full Tradepoint Atlantic property (3,100 acres) into the MDE's Voluntary Cleanup Program (MDE-VCP) was submitted to the MDE and delivered on June 27, 2014. The property's current and anticipated future use is Tier 3 (Industrial), and plans for the property include demolition and redevelopment over the next several years.



## **1.1. SITE HISTORY**

From the late 1800s until 2012, the production and manufacturing of steel was conducted at Sparrows Point. Iron and steel production operations and processes at Sparrows Point included raw material handling, coke production, sinter production, iron production, steel production, and semi-finished and finished product preparation. In 1970, Sparrows Point was the largest steel facility in the United States, producing hot and cold rolled sheets, coated materials, pipes, plates, and rod and wire. The steel making operations at Sparrows Point ceased in fall 2012.

Four large ASTs made up the former Pennwood Storage Tank Farm, directly north of the Pennwood Power Station. The Pennwood Storage Tank Farm was identified as a Recognized Environmental Condition (REC) within the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) prepared by Weaver Boos Consultants dated May 19, 2014. The Pennwood Power Station operated four boilers to generate electricity and steam for general plant use and was operated on a variety of fuels including blast furnace gas, No. 6 fuel oil, used oil or waste combustible fluids, and natural gas. The ASTs in the tank farm formerly held fuel oil and recycled oil. One additional fuel oil AST was formerly located directly east of the Pennwood Storage Tank Farm but had been removed prior to the original Phase II Investigation.

The four ASTs targeted by this Supplemental Characterization Investigation were present during the original Phase II Investigation of Parcel B19. The ASTs and their foundations were demolished and removed in 2019.

### **1.2. OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this Supplemental Characterization Investigation was to characterize the nature and extent of contamination in the vicinity of the former ASTs within Parcel B19. A summary table of the site investigation locations, including the sample identification numbers and the analyses performed, is provided as **Appendix A**. This report includes a summary of the work performed, including the environmental setting, site investigation methods, analytical soil and groundwater results, and findings and recommendations.



# 2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

## 2.1. LAND USE AND SURFACE FEATURES

The Tradepoint Atlantic property consists of the former Sparrows Point steel mill. According to the Phase I ESA prepared by Weaver Boos dated May 19, 2014, the property is zoned Manufacturing Heavy-Industrial Major (MH-IM). Surrounding property zoning classifications (beyond Tradepoint Atlantic) include the following: Manufacturing Light (ML); Resource Conservation (RC); Density Residential (DR); Business Roadside (BR); Business Major (BM); Business Local (BL); and Residential Office (RO). Light industrial and commercial properties are located northeast of the property and northwest of the property across Bear Creek. Residential areas of Edgemere and Fort Howard are located northeast of the property across Jones Creek and to the southeast across Old Road Bay, respectively. Residential and commercial areas of Dundalk are located northwest of the property across Bear Creek.

According to site observations, the former AST area is generally flat and low-lying. Areas of standing surface water had formed within the former ASTs foundations. Prior to completing this Supplemental Characterization Investigation, surface water was removed from the area using bypass pumping and minor regrading. The ground surface is generally made up of slag gravel.

## **2.2. REGIONAL GEOLOGY**

The property is located within the Atlantic Coastal Plain Physiographic Province (Coastal Plain). The western boundary of the Coastal Plain is the "Fall Line", which separates the Coastal Plain from the Piedmont Plateau Province. The Fall Line runs from northeast to southwest along the western boundary of the Chesapeake Bay, passing through Elkton (MD), Havre de Grace (MD), Baltimore City (MD), and Laurel (MD). The eastern boundary of the Coastal Plain is the off-shore Continental Shelf.

The unconsolidated sediments beneath the property belong to the Talbot Formation (Pleistocene), which is then underlain by the Cretaceous formations which comprise the Potomac Group (Patapsco Formation, Arundel Formation, and the Patuxent Formation). The Potomac Group formations are comprised of unconsolidated sediments of varying thicknesses and types, which may be several hundred feet to several thousand feet thick. These unconsolidated formations may overlie deeper Mesozoic and/or Precambrian bedrock. Depth to bedrock is approximately 700 feet within the property.

### **2.3. SITE GEOLOGY**

Groundcover within Parcel B19 is comprised of 84% natural soils and 16% slag fill based on the approximate shoreline of the Sparrows Point Peninsula in 1916, as shown on **Figure 2** (adapted from Figure 2-20 in the Description of Current Conditions (DCC) Report prepared by Rust



Environment and Infrastructure dated January 1998). However, the former Pennwood Storage Tank Farm is entirely within the 1916 peninsula outline, indicating that the local subsurface geology is comprised primarily of natural soils rather than non-native fill (i.e., slag).

In general, the encountered subsurface geology included slag gravel at the surface, with clay and sand below. Groundwater was observed in soil cores at depths of 1.7 to 8 feet below ground surface (bgs) across the Site, and was identified at every location. Soil boring observation logs are provided in **Appendix B**. Note that unless otherwise indicated, all Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) group symbols provided on the attached boring logs are from visual observations, and not from laboratory testing.



# 3.0 SITE INVESTIGATION

A total of 10 soil samples (from six boring locations) and four groundwater samples were collected for analysis between May 20, 2020 and May 28, 2020 as part of the Parcel B19 Supplemental Characterization Investigation. This investigation utilized methods and protocols that followed the procedures included in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) dated April 5, 2016 which was approved by the agencies to support the investigation and remediation of the Tradepoint Atlantic property. Information regarding the project organization, field activities and sampling methods, sampling equipment, sample handling and management procedures, the selected laboratory and analytical methods, quality control and quality assurance procedures, investigationderived waste (IDW) management methods, and reporting requirements are described in detail in the approved Parcel B19 AST Characterization Work Plan and the QAPP. All site characterization activities were conducted under the standard property-wide Health and Safety Plan (HASP).

## **3.1. SAMPLE TARGET IDENTIFICATION**

Previous activities within and around the buildings and facilities located on the Tradepoint Atlantic property may have been historical sources of environmental contamination. Historical features within the Site were targeted during the original Parcel B19 Phase II Investigation.

After the completion of the original Phase II Investigation, the four ASTs in the Pennwood Storage Tank Farm were demolished and removed. The MDE subsequently requested that the former AST footprints be investigated. A total of six soil borings were completed during this investigation targeting the former ASTs. Each boring was completed within the original AST footprints, unless standing water made the locations inaccessible. The locations of the soil borings are shown on **Figure 3**. Locations B19-047-SB and B19-048-SB were shifted slightly to the northwest from their originally proposed locations due to standing water in the former AST foundations.

### **3.2. SOIL INVESTIGATION**

Continuous core soil borings were advanced at six locations across the Site to assess the presence or absence of soil contamination, and to assess the vertical distribution of any encountered contamination (**Figure 3**). The continuous core soil borings were advanced to a maximum depth of 15 feet bgs using the Geoprobe<sup>®</sup> MC-7 Macrocore soil sampler (surface to 10 feet bgs) and the Geoprobe<sup>®</sup> D-22 Dual-Tube Sampler (depths >10 feet bgs). At each of the boring locations, each soil core was visually inspected and screened with a hand-held photoionization detector (PID) prior to logging soil types. Soil boring logs have been included as **Appendix B**, and the PID calibration log has been included as **Appendix C**. Unless otherwise indicated, all USCS group symbols provided on the attached boring logs are from visual observations.

One shallow sample was collected from the 0 to 1 foot depth interval, and a deeper sample was collected from the 4 to 5 foot depth interval from each continuous core soil boring. If groundwater



was observed at a depth shallower than 4 feet, only the 0 to 1 foot depth interval sample was collected. Deep soil samples (9 to 10 feet bgs) were not collected during this investigation because groundwater was always encountered prior to reaching 10 feet bgs.

Soil sampling activities were conducted in accordance with the procedures and methods referenced in **Field Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) Numbers 008, 009, 012, and 013** provided in Appendix A of the QAPP. Down-hole soil sampling equipment was decontaminated after soil sampling had been concluded at each location, according to the procedures and methods referenced in **Field SOP Number 016** provided in Appendix A of the QAPP.

The soil samples were analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) via USEPA Methods 8270 and 8270 SIM, Oil & Grease via USEPA Methods 1664 and 9071, total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) diesel range organics (DRO) and gasoline range organics (GRO) via USEPA Methods 5030 and 8015, Target Analyte List (TAL) Metals via USEPA Methods 6010, 7470, and 7471, hexavalent chromium via USEPA Method 7196, and cyanide via USEPA Method 9012. Additionally, the shallow soil samples collected across the Site from the 0 to 1 foot bgs interval were analyzed for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) via USEPA Method 8082. Sample containers, preservatives, and holding times for the sample analyses are listed in the QAPP Worksheet 19 & 30 – Sample Containers, Preservation, and Holding Times. Each soil sample collected during this investigation was submitted to Pace Analytical Services, Inc. (PACE) for analysis, with the exception that the 8270 SIM soil analysis was performed by Alpha Analytical.

The Work Plan stipulated that samples from any depth interval with a sustained PID reading of greater than 10 ppm would be analyzed for TCL volatile organic compounds (VOCs) via USEPA Method 8260. There were no instances of PID readings greater than 10 ppm, so VOCs were not analyzed for any of the collected soil samples.

### 3.3. GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION

Four shallow temporary groundwater sample collection points (commonly referred to as piezometers) were included in the project-specific sampling plan. Piezometers were installed at B19-044-SB, B19-046-SB, B19-047-SB, and B19-048-SB to facilitate the collection of groundwater samples. **Figure 3** shows the locations where shallow piezometers were installed. The soil boring logs and the piezometer construction logs for these piezometers are included in **Appendix B**. Following the installation of each sample collection point, the 0-hour depth to water was documented and the collection point was checked for the presence of non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) using an oil-water interface probe in accordance with the methods referenced in **Field SOP Number 019** provided in Appendix A of the QAPP.



After the installation of each temporary groundwater sample collection point, down-hole equipment was decontaminated according to the procedures and methods referenced in **Field SOP Number 016** provided in Appendix A of the QAPP.

Groundwater samples were collected at each location in accordance with methods referenced in **Field SOP Number 006** provided in Appendix A of the QAPP; which employed the use of laboratory supplied sample containers and preservatives, a peristaltic pump, dedicated polyethylene tubing, and a water quality multiparameter meter with a flow-through cell. Groundwater samples submitted for analysis of dissolved metals were filtered in the field with an in-line 0.45 micron filter. The sampling and purge logs have been included in **Appendix D**. Calibration of the multiparameter meter was performed before the start of each day of the sampling event, and a calibration post-check was completed at the end of the day.

Groundwater samples collected during this investigation were submitted to PACE, and analyzed for TCL-VOCs via USEPA Method 8260, TCL-SVOCs via USEPA Methods 8270 and 8270 SIM, Oil & Grease via USEPA Method 1664, TPH-DRO/GRO via USEPA Methods 5030 and 8015, TAL-Dissolved Metals via USEPA Methods 6010 and 7470, dissolved hexavalent chromium via USEPA Method 7196, and total cyanide via USEPA Method 9012. Sample containers, preservatives, and holding times for the sample analyses are listed in the QAPP Worksheet 19 & 30 – Sample Containers, Preservation, and Holding Times.

### **3.4.** MANAGEMENT OF INVESTIGATION-DERIVED WASTE (IDW)

In accordance with **Field SOP Number 005** provided in Appendix A of the QAPP, potentially impacted materials, or IDW, generated during this investigation was containerized in 55-gallon (DOT-UN1A2) drums. The types of IDW that were generated included the following:

- soil cuttings generated from soil borings or the installation of groundwater sample points;
- purged groundwater;
- decontamination fluids; and
- used personal protective equipment

Following the completion of field activities, a composite sample was gathered with aliquots from the IDW soil drum for waste characterization. Following this analysis, the waste soil was characterized as non-hazardous. A list of all results from the soil waste characterization procedure can be found in **Table 1**. IDW drums containing aqueous materials (including aqueous waste generated during this investigation) were characterized by preparing a composite sample from randomly selected drums. The composite sample included aliquots from several individual drums that were chosen as a subset of the aqueous drums being staged on-site at the date of collection. Following this analysis, the aqueous waste was characterized as non-hazardous. A list of all results from the aqueous waste characterization procedure can be found in **Table 2**.



The project-specific IDW drum log from this Supplemental Characterization Investigation is included as **Appendix E**. All IDW procedures were carried out in accordance with methods referenced in the QAPP Worksheet 21 – Field SOPs and Appendix A of the QAPP.



# 4.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

## 4.1. SOIL CONDITIONS

Soil analytical results were screened against Project Action Limits (PALs) established in the property-wide QAPP (or other direct guidance from the agencies; i.e., TPH/Oil & Grease) to determine PAL exceedances. PALs are generally based on the USEPA's Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) for the Composite Worker exposure to soil. The Composite Worker is defined by the USEPA as a long-term receptor exposed during the workday who is a full-time employee that spends most of the workday conducting maintenance activities (which typically involve on-site exposures to surface soils) outdoors.

The analytical results for the detected parameters in soil are summarized and compared to the PALs in **Table 3** (Organics) and **Table 4** (Inorganics). The laboratory Certificates of Analysis (including Chains of Custody) have been included as electronic attachments.

## 4.1.1. Soil Conditions: Organic Compounds

Soil samples were screened with a PID to determine if VOC sampling was necessary. No soil samples exceeded the 10 ppm threshold for VOC sampling, so none of the soil samples were analyzed for VOCs.

**Table 3** provides the SVOCs detected above the laboratory's method detection limits (MDLs) in the soil samples collected at the Site. The PALs for relevant polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have been adjusted based on revised toxicity data published in the USEPA RSL Composite Worker Soil Table. Therefore, any soil exceedances for PAHs would be based on the adjusted PALs rather than those presented in the QAPP. There were no SVOCs detected above their respective PALs.

Shallow soil samples collected across the Site from the 0 to 1 foot bgs interval were analyzed for PCBs. As shown in **Table 3**, one shallow sample had a PCB detection of Aroclor 1254 above the MDL, but no detections of PCBs exceeded the applicable PALs.

**Table 3** provides the TPH/Oil & Grease detections above the laboratory's MDLs in the soil samples. There were no PAL exceedances of DRO, GRO, or Oil & Grease in any of the soil samples. Additionally, no physical evidence of NAPL was observed in any soil cores completed during this investigation.

### 4.1.2. Soil Conditions: Inorganic Constituents

**Table 4** provides the inorganic constituents detected above the laboratory's MDLs in the soil samples collected at the Site. Arsenic was the only inorganic constituent to exceed its PAL in soil,



with a maximum detected concentration of 25.2 mg/kg in B19-047-SB-1. Locations B19-046-SB and B19-047-SB exceeded the PAL for arsenic in the shallow and intermediate soil samples. Location B19-048-SB exceeded the PAL for arsenic in the intermediate soil sample. The inorganic PAL exceedance locations and results have been provided on **Figure 4**.

## 4.1.3. Soil Conditions: Results Summary

**Table 3** and **Table 4** provide a summary of the detected organic compounds and inorganics in the soil samples submitted for laboratory analysis. There were no organic PAL exceedances among the soil samples. **Figure 4** presents the soil sample inorganic results that exceeded the PALs. Arsenic was the only constituent that was detected in soil above the PAL. The maximum detection of arsenic was 25.2 mg/kg in sample B19-047-SB-1.

## **4.2.** GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

The analytical results for the detected parameters in groundwater are summarized and compared to the PALs in **Table 5** (Organics) and **Table 6** (Inorganics). The laboratory Certificates of Analysis (including Chains of Custody) have been included as electronic attachments.

## 4.2.1. Groundwater Conditions: Organic Compounds

As provided on **Table 5**, two VOCs (2-butanone and acetone) were identified above the laboratory's MDLs in one groundwater sample (B19-048-PZ). No VOCs were detected above their respective PALs in groundwater.

**Table 5** provides the SVOCs identified in the groundwater samples above the laboratory's MDLs. Similar to the evaluation of soil data, the PALs for relevant PAHs have been adjusted based on revised toxicity data published in the USEPA RSL Resident Tapwater Table. Naphthalene was the only SVOC detected above its PAL in groundwater. Naphthalene concentrations were identified above the PAL at locations B19-044-PZ, B19-047-PZ, and B19-048-PZ, with a maximum detected concentration of 0.59 ug/L at B19-048-PZ. These SVOC PAL exceedances are shown on **Figure 5**.

**Table 5** provides the TPH/Oil & Grease detections in groundwater at the Site. DRO was detected above its PAL in each groundwater sample location, with a maximum detected concentration of 320 ug/L at B19-048-PZ. Oil & Grease was detected above the PAL at locations B19-044-PZ and B19-046-PZ, with a maximum (J flagged) detection of 1,500 ug/L at B19-044-PZ. The TPH/Oil & Grease PAL exceedances are shown on **Figure 6**. Each location was checked for the potential presence of NAPL using an oil-water interface probe prior to sampling. During these checks, NAPL was not detected in any of the groundwater sampling locations.



## 4.2.2. Groundwater Conditions: Inorganic Constituents

**Table 6** provides the inorganic constituents detected above the MDLs in the groundwater samples collected at the Site. A total of four dissolved metals (arsenic, cobalt, iron, and manganese) were detected above their respective aqueous PALs. Each of the identified dissolved metals had one PAL exceedance, with detected concentrations of 14.9 ug/L of arsenic at B19-044-PZ; 76.6 ug/L of cobalt at B19-047-PZ; 19,800 ug/L of iron at B19-047-PZ; and 4,300 ug/L of manganese at B19-047-PZ. The inorganic PAL exceedances are shown on **Figure 7**.

## 4.2.3. Groundwater Conditions: Results Summary

**Table 5** and **Table 6** provide summaries of the detected organic compounds and inorganics in the groundwater samples submitted for laboratory analysis, and **Figure 5** through **Figure 7** present the locations and aqueous results that exceeded the PALs. Aqueous PAL exceedances among the groundwater samples collected from the Site consisted of one SVOC (naphthalene), DRO, Oil & Grease, and four dissolved metals (arsenic, cobalt, iron, and manganese).

Groundwater data were screened to determine whether individual sample results may exceed the USEPA Vapor Intrusion (VI) Screening Levels (Target Cancer Risk (TCR) of 1E-5 and Target Hazard Quotient (THQ) of 1 as determined by the Vapor Intrusion Screening Level (VISL) Calculator version 3.5 (https://www.epa.gov/vaporintrusion/vapor-intrusion-screening-levels-visls). The PALs specified in the QAPP are based upon drinking water use, which is not a potential exposure pathway for groundwater at the Site.

None of the aqueous results exceeded the individual VI TCR or THQ criteria as specified by the VISL Calculator. Following the initial screening, a cumulative VI risk assessment was also performed for each individual sample location, with the results separated by cancer risk versus non-cancer hazard. All compounds with detections were included in the computation of the cumulative cancer risk, and all compounds with detections exceeding 10% of the THQ level were included in the evaluation of non-cancer hazard. None of the cumulative VI cancer risks were greater than 1E-5. No constituents were identified with detections above the 10% THQ level to be included in a non-cancer Hazard Index (HI) assessment. The results of the cumulative VI comparisons are provided in **Table 7**.

The presence and absence of groundwater impacts within the Site boundaries have been adequately described. There are no concerns related to potential VI risks at the Site. Based on the relatively low-level analytical results identified during this investigation, there do not appear to be significant ongoing sources of groundwater contamination present.



# 5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE & QUALITY CONTROL

The goal of the Supplemental Characterization Investigation was to determine if potentially hazardous substances or petroleum products (VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs, metals, cyanide, or TPH/Oil & Grease) are present in Site media (soil and groundwater) at concentrations that could pose an unacceptable risk to future Site receptors. Individual results are compared to the PALs established in the QAPP (i.e., the USEPA RSLs) or based on other direct guidance from the agencies, to identify the presence of exceedances in each environmental medium.

Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) samples were collected during the field study to evaluate field/laboratory variability. A summary of QA/QC samples associated with this investigation has been included as **Appendix F**. Independent third-party data validation was not performed for the soil or groundwater data obtained during this investigation. The following QA/QC samples were submitted for analysis:

- Trip Blank at a rate of one per cooler with VOC samples per day
  - Soil VOCs only
  - $\circ$  Water VOCs only
- Blind Field Duplicate at a rate of one per twenty samples
  - Soil VOCs, SVOCs, Metals, TPH-DRO, TPH-GRO, Oil & Grease, PCBs, hexavalent chromium, and cyanide
  - Water VOCs, SVOCs, Metals, TPH-DRO, TPH-GRO, Oil & Grease, hexavalent chromium, and cyanide
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate at a rate of one per twenty samples
  - Soil VOCs, SVOCs, Metals, TPH-DRO, TPH-GRO, Oil & Grease, PCBs, hexavalent chromium, and cyanide
  - Water VOCs, SVOCs, Metals, TPH-DRO, TPH-GRO, Oil & Grease, hexavalent chromium, and cyanide
- Field Blank and Equipment Blank at a rate of one per twenty samples
  - Soil VOCs, SVOCs, Metals, TPH-DRO, TPH-GRO, Oil & Grease, PCBs, hexavalent chromium, and cyanide
  - Water VOCs, SVOCs, Metals, TPH-DRO, TPH-GRO, Oil & Grease, hexavalent chromium, and cyanide

The QA/QC samples were collected and analyzed in accordance with the QAPP Worksheet 12 – Measurement Performance Criteria, QAPP Worksheet 20 – Field Quality Control, and QAPP Worksheet 28 – Analytical Quality Control and Corrective Action.



# 6.0 FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The objective of this Supplemental Characterization Investigation was to characterize the nature and extent of contamination in the vicinity of the former ASTs in Parcel B19. During the investigation, a total of 10 soil samples (from six boring locations) and four groundwater samples were collected and analyzed to define the nature and extent of contamination. The sampling and analysis plan for this project was developed to target the former Pennwood Storage Tank Farm, an area potentially contaminated with hazardous substances and/or petroleum products. Soil samples were analyzed for SVOCs, TPH/Oil & Grease, TAL-Metals, hexavalent chromium, and cyanide. Shallow soil samples (0 to 1 foot bgs) were additionally analyzed for PCBs. Groundwater samples were analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, TPH/Oil & Grease, TAL-Dissolved Metals, dissolved hexavalent chromium, and total cyanide.

## 6.1. SOIL

The concentrations of constituents in the soil have been characterized by this investigation to provide estimates of exposure point concentrations to support future risk assessment. Arsenic was the only constituent found to exceed its applicable soil PAL, with a maximum detected arsenic concentration of 25.2 mg/kg in B19-047-SB-1. There were no soil PAL exceedances identified for organic compounds (SVOCs, PCBs, or TPH/Oil & Grease), indicating that these compounds are not significant contaminants in soil at the Site. No soil samples exceeded the 10 ppm PID threshold for VOC sampling, so none of the soil samples were analyzed for VOCs. No physical evidence of NAPL was observed in any soil cores completed during this investigation.

### **6.2.** GROUNDWATER

The concentrations of constituents in the groundwater have also been characterized by this investigation to provide estimates of exposure point concentrations to support future risk assessment. There were no aqueous PAL exceedances identified in groundwater for VOCs or GRO, indicating that these compounds are not significant contaminants in groundwater at the Site. Exceedances of the PALs in groundwater within the former tank farm consisted of four dissolved metals (arsenic, cobalt, iron, and manganese), DRO, Oil & Grease, and naphthalene. Each of the identified dissolved metals had one PAL exceedance, with detected concentrations of 14.9 ug/L of arsenic at B19-044-PZ; 76.6 ug/L of cobalt at B19-047-PZ; 19,800 ug/L of iron at B19-047-PZ; and 4,300 ug/L of manganese at B19-047-PZ. DRO exceeded the PAL in all four groundwater sample locations, with a maximum concentration of 320 ug/L at B19-048-PZ. Oil & Grease was detected above the PAL at locations B19-044-PZ and B19-046-PZ, with a maximum (J flagged) detection of 1,500 ug/L at B19-044-PZ. Naphthalene exceeded its aqueous PAL in three of the four groundwater sample locations (all except for B19-046-PZ), with a maximum concentration of 0.59 ug/L at B19-048-PZ.



Each temporary groundwater sample collection point was checked for the potential presence of NAPL using an oil-water interface probe prior to sampling. During these checks, NAPL was not detected at any of the groundwater sampling locations. All temporary groundwater sample collection points were properly abandoned on June 1, 2020 by a Maryland-licensed driller. Each piezometer was gauged a final time with the oil-water interface probe on the abandonment date to confirm that NAPL was not present. The abandonment forms are included as **Appendix G**.

Groundwater is not used on the Tradepoint Atlantic property (and is not proposed to be utilized); therefore, there is no potential for direct human exposure for a Composite Worker. In the event that future construction/excavation leads to a potential Construction Worker exposure to groundwater, health and safety plans should be implemented to limit exposure risk. The groundwater data were screened to determine whether any cumulative (or individual) sample results exceeded the USEPA VI TCR (carcinogen) or THQ (non-carcinogen) Screening Levels. None of the individual sample results exceeded the VI TCR or THQ criteria. When the aqueous results were summed by sample location, none of the cumulative VI cancer risks or non-cancer risks were greater than the acceptable regulatory limits. There do not appear to be any concerns related to potential VI risks at the Site.

### **6.3.** RECOMMENDATIONS

Sufficient investigation data has been collected to characterize the nature and extent of constituents of potential concern at the Pennwood Storage Tank Farm. The presence and absence of soil and groundwater impacts within the former tank farm have been adequately described and further investigation is not warranted. No further action is recommended at this time.

The results of this Supplemental Characterization Investigation (as well as the results obtained during the original Parcel B19 Phase II Investigation) will be incorporated into one or more project-specific Response and Development Work Plans, as applicable, if future development is proposed in this area.



# FIGURES















# **TABLES**

Sample ID	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u> (mg/L)	<u>Laboratory</u> <u>Flag</u>	<u>TCLP Limit</u> (mg/L)	<u>TCLP</u> Exceedance	Laboratory LOQ (mg/L)
	1,1-Dichloroethene	0.05	U	0.7	no	0.05
	1,2-Dichloroethane	0.05	U	0.5	no	0.05
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	7.5	no	0.5
	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5	U	400	no	5
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.1	U	2	no	0.1
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.1	U	0.13	no	0.1
	2-Butanone (MEK)	0.1	U	200	no	0.1
	2-Methylphenol	2	U	200	no	2
	3&4-Methylphenol(m&p Cresol)	2	U	200	no	2
	Arsenic	0.025	U	5	no	0.025
	Barium	0.11		100	no	0.05
	Benzene	0.05	U	0.5	no	0.05
	Cadmium	0.015	U	1	no	0.015
P10 Weste	Carbon tetrachloride	0.05	U	0.5	no	0.05
(5/20/2020)	Chlorobenzene	0.05	U	100	no	0.05
(3/20/2020)	Chloroform	0.05	U	6	no	0.05
	Chromium	0.0037	В	5	no	0.025
	Hexachlorobenzene	0.1	U	0.13	no	0.1
	Hexachloroethane	0.2	U	3	no	0.2
	Lead	0.05	U	5	no	0.05
	Mercury	0.001	U	0.2	no	0.001
	Nitrobenzene	0.1	U	2	no	0.1
	Pentachlorophenol	5	U	100	no	5
	Selenium	0.04	U	1	no	0.04
	Silver	0.03	U	5	no	0.03
	Tetrachloroethene	0.05	U	0.7	no	0.05
	Trichloroethene	0.05	U	0.5	no	0.05
	Vinyl chloride	0.05	U	0.2	no	0.05

# Table 1 - Parcel B19 AST CharacterizationCharacterization Results for Solid IDW

U: The analyte was not detected in the sample. The numeric value represents the sample LOQ.

B: The analyte was not detected substantially above the level of the associated method blank or field blank.

TCLP: Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

LOQ: Limit of Quantitation

Sample ID	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u> (mg/L)	<u>Laboratory</u> <u>Flag</u>	<u>TCLP Limit</u> (mg/L)	<u>TCLP</u> Exceedance	Laboratory LOQ (mg/L)
	1,1-Dichloroethene	0.001	U	0.7	no	0.001
	1,2-Dichloroethane	0.001	U	0.5	no	0.001
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.001	U	7.5	no	0.001
	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.0025	U	400	no	0.0025
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.00098	U	2	no	0.00098
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.00098	U	0.13	no	0.00098
	2-Butanone (MEK)	0.01	U	200	no	0.01
	2-Methylphenol	0.00098	U	200	no	0.00098
	3&4-Methylphenol(m&p Cresol)	0.002	U	200	no	0.002
	Arsenic	0.005	U	5	no	0.005
	Benzene	0.00069	J	0.5	no	0.001
	Cadmium	0.0293		1	no	0.003
	Carbon tetrachloride	0.001	U	0.5	no	0.001
Water IDW	Chlorobenzene	0.001	U	100	no	0.001
(7/1/2020)	Chloroform	0.001	U	6	no	0.001
	Chromium	0.0047	J	5	no	0.005
	Hexachlorobenzene	0.00098	U	0.13	no	0.00098
	Hexachloroethane	0.00098	U	3	no	0.00098
	Lead	0.005	U	5	no	0.005
	Mercury	0.0002	U	0.2	no	0.0002
	Nitrobenzene	0.00098	U	2	no	0.00098
	Pentachlorophenol	0.0025	U	100	no	0.0025
	Selenium	0.008	U	1	no	0.008
	Silver	0.006	U	5	no	0.006
	Tetrachloroethene	0.001	U	0.7	no	0.001
	Trichloroethene	0.00038	J	0.5	no	0.001
	Vinyl chloride	0.001	U	0.2	no	0.001

# Table 2 - Parcel B19 AST CharacterizationCharacterization Results for Liquid IDW

U: The analyte was not detected in the sample. The numeric value represents the sample LOQ.

J: The positive result for this analyte is a quantitative estimate below the laboratory LOQ.

TCLP: Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

LOQ: Limit of Quantitation

# Table 3 - Parcel B19 AST CharacterizationSummary of Organics Detected in Soil

Derometer	Linita	DAI	B19-043-SB-1*	B19-044-SB-1*	B19-044-SB-4*	B19-045-SB-1*	B19-046-SB-1*	B19-046-SB-5*	B19-047-SB-1*	B19-047-SB-5*	B19-048-SB-1*	B19-048-SB-5*
Parameter	Units	PAL	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020
Semi-Volatile Organic Compound	ds^											
2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	3,000	0.02	0.0086	0.0077	0.0082	0.0078 U	0.0082 U	0.041	0.0045 J	0.01	0.0082 U
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	45,000	0.0077 U	0.0074 U	0.0075 U	0.0075 U	0.0078 U	0.0082 U	0.0036 J	0.0082 U	0.0075 U	0.0082 U
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	45,000	0.0077 U	0.00097 J	0.0017 J	0.0012 J	0.0078 U	0.0082 U	0.0059 J	0.0012 J	0.0028 J	0.0082 U
Anthracene	mg/kg	230,000	0.0013 J	0.0011 J	0.0011 J	0.0018 J	0.0007 J	0.0082 U	0.0084	0.0029 J	0.004 J	0.0082 U
Benz[a]anthracene	mg/kg	21	0.0024 J	0.0054 J	0.0053 J	0.013	0.0017 J	0.0013 J	0.043	0.018	0.015	0.0082 U
Benzo[a]pyrene	mg/kg	2.1	0.0017 J	0.0049 J	0.0064 J	0.013	0.002 J	0.0011 J	0.051	0.018	0.017	0.0082 U
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	mg/kg	21	0.0024 J	0.0072 J	0.0086	0.011	0.0024 J	0.0012 J	0.062	0.022	0.022	0.0082 U
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	mg/kg		0.0016 J	0.0041 J	0.0048 J	0.0095	0.0015 J	0.00074 J	0.042	0.0098	0.023	0.0082 U
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	mg/kg	210	0.0077 U	0.0024 J	0.0025 J	0.0023 J	0.0007 J	0.0082 U	0.018	0.0068 J	0.0078	0.0082 U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	mg/kg	160	0.019 J	0.021 J	0.021 J	0.032 J	0.026 J	0.03 J	0.74 U	0.02 J	0.032 J	0.023 J
Caprolactam	mg/kg	400,000	0.19 U	0.19 U	0.18 U	0.023 J	0.18 U	0.2 U	1.9 U	0.21 U	0.18 U	0.2 U
Chrysene	mg/kg	2,100	0.0059 J	0.0062 J	0.0051 J	0.032	0.0013 J	0.00082 J	0.048	0.016	0.017	0.0082 U
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	mg/kg	2.1	0.0077 U	0.0015 J	0.0012 J	0.0033 J	0.0078 U	0.0082 U	0.0096	0.0025 J	0.0032 J	0.0082 U
Diethylphthalate	mg/kg	660,000	0.076 U	0.075 U	0.074 U	0.073 U	0.073 U	0.081 U	0.74 U	0.082 U	0.016 J	0.078 U
Di-n-butylphthalate	mg/kg	82,000	0.12 B	0.17	0.16 B	0.2	0.17	0.23	0.74 U	0.13 B	0.21	0.17
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	30,000	0.0038 J	0.0088	0.0082	0.0098	0.0031 J	0.0012 J	0.058	0.032	0.028	0.0082 U
Fluorene	mg/kg	30,000	0.0015 J	0.0074 U	0.00093 J	0.0075 U	0.0078 U	0.0082 U	0.0036 J	0.0014 J	0.0075 U	0.0082 U
Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	mg/kg	21	0.0011 J	0.0041 J	0.0053 J	0.0041 J	0.0018 J	0.0082 U	0.036	0.011	0.014	0.0082 U
Naphthalene	mg/kg	8.6	0.0054 J	0.0072 J	0.0059 J	0.0046 J	0.0037 J	0.0082 U	0.03	0.0035 J	0.0093	0.0082 U
Phenanthrene	mg/kg		0.014	0.0063 J	0.0073 J	0.011	0.0041 J	0.00086 J	0.04	0.011	0.023	0.00078 J
Pyrene	mg/kg	23,000	0.0084	0.007 J	0.0065 J	0.054	0.0024 J	0.0011 J	0.058	0.026	0.024	0.0082 U
PCBs												
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	0.97	0.094 U	0.019 U	N/A	0.018 U	0.018 U	N/A	0.26	N/A	0.09 U	N/A
TPH/Oil and Grease												
Diesel Range Organics	mg/kg	6,200	15.5	11.8	25.4	34.9	8.2	8.9	91.7	37.4	20.7	12.1
Oil and Grease	mg/kg	6,200	92.6 J	63.2 J	67.2 J	62.2 J	90.2 J	101 J	477	491	49.7 J	241 U

#### **Detections in bold**

#### Values in red indicate an exceedance of the Project Action Limit (PAL)

\* indicate non-validated data

^ PAH compounds were analyzed via SIM

U: This analyte was not detected in the sample. The numeric value represents the sample quantitation/detection limit.

J: The positive result reported for this analyte is a quantitative estimate.

B: This analyte was not detected substantially above the level of the associated method blank or field blank.

N/A indicates that the parameter was not analyzed for this sample

# Table 4 - Parcel B19 AST CharacterizationSummary of Inorganics Detected in Soil

Doromotor	Unita	DAI	B19-043-SB-1*	B19-044-SB-1*	B19-044-SB-4*	B19-045-SB-1*	B19-046-SB-1*	B19-046-SB-5*	B19-047-SB-1*	B19-047-SB-5*	B19-048-SB-1*	B19-048-SB-5*
Parameter	Units	PAL	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	5/20/2020
Metals												
Aluminum	mg/kg	1,100,000	52,000	49,100	38,400	37,900	50,700	21,100	13,800	15,000	10,000	14,700
Arsenic	mg/kg	3	2.2 U	2.5	2.3 U	2.5	3.2	4.7	25.2	4	2.2 U	4.2
Barium	mg/kg	220,000	524	538	320	418	705	117	109	80.4	59.8	49.1
Beryllium	mg/kg	2,300	7	7	5.2	6.4	7	1.4	1.2	0.55 J	0.32 J	0.48 J
Cadmium	mg/kg	980	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.4 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.5 U	0.77 J	1.5 U	0.48 J	1.4 U
Chromium	mg/kg	120,000	6.1	16.1	11.9	7.7	45.7	29.7	134	35	994	18.4
Chromium VI	mg/kg	6.3	1.2 U	1.2 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.2 U	1.2 U	1.3 U	1.2	1.2 U
Cobalt	mg/kg	350	4.5 U	0.51 J	0.94 J	0.58 J	0.56 J	10.4	6.6	4.4 J	2 J	3.9 J
Copper	mg/kg	47,000	4.5 U	4.5 U	3.2 J	4.5 U	4.5 U	12.9	45	12.8	25.6	8
Iron	mg/kg	820,000	4,930	9,820	27,100	12,300	7,160	24,900	45,300	18,500	185,000	14,300
Lead	mg/kg	800	17.3	5.2	2.6	27.8	3.8	10.6	159	34	5.7	8.5
Manganese	mg/kg	26,000	2,870	3,010	1,560	1,750	4,270	166	2,550	589	21,200	121
Mercury	mg/kg	350	0.12 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.1 U	0.11 U	0.033 J	0.028 J	0.037 J	0.0069 J	0.025 J
Nickel	mg/kg	22,000	8.9 U	1.6 J	2.5 J	1.5 J	8.9 U	17.4	25.8	10.3 J	19.7	10.9
Selenium	mg/kg	5,800	3.9	3.3 J	4.4	3.6 J	3.6 U	3.9 U	3.5 U	4.1 U	3.6 U	3.7 U
Thallium	mg/kg	12	8.9 U	9 U	9.2 U	9 U	8.9 U	9.8 U	3.1 J	10.3 U	8.9	9.2 U
Vanadium	mg/kg	5,800	12.4	52.8	27	12.2	72.8	39	222	186	536	27.4
Zinc	mg/kg	350,000	2.3 J	7.1	4.7	1.6 J	3.4 J	77.2	299	59.5	150	29.8
Other												
Cyanide	mg/kg	150	0.33 J	0.38 J	1.1	0.28 J	0.54 J	0.23 J	1.2	0.3 J	0.34 J	0.2 J

#### **Detections in bold**

#### Values in red indicate an exceedance of the Project Action Limit (PAL)

\* indicates non-validated data

U: This analyte was not detected in the sample. The numeric value represent the sample quantitation/detection limit.

J: The positive value reported for this analyte is a quantitative estimate.

# Table 5 - Parcel B19 AST CharacterizationSummary of Organics Detected in Groundwater

Deremeter	Unita	DAI	B19-044-PZ*	B19-046-PZ*	B19-047-PZ*	B19-048-PZ*
Falametei	Units	FAL	5/26/2020	5/28/2020	5/26/2020	5/26/2020
Volatile Organic Compounds						
2-Butanone (MEK)	μg/L	5,600	10 U	10 U	10 U	5.6 J
Acetone	μg/L	14,000	10 U	10 U	10 U	67.5
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds^			-			
1,4-Dioxane	μg/L	0.46	0.099 U	0.1 U	0.14	0.098 U
2-Methylnaphthalene	μg/L	36	0.028 J	0.016 J	0.021 J	0.15
2-Methylphenol	μg/L	930	0.99 U	1 U	0.98 U	0.6 J
Acenaphthene	μg/L	530	0.027 J	0.0084 J	0.095 U	0.36
Acenaphthylene	μg/L	530	0.095 U	0.0041 J	0.095 U	0.095 U
Anthracene	μg/L	1,800	0.095 U	0.013 J	0.095 U	0.027 J
Benzo[a]pyrene	μg/L	0.2	0.095 U	0.0034 J	0.095 U	0.095 U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	μg/L	6	0.99 U	0.49 J	0.98 U	0.98 U
Caprolactam	μg/L	9,900	2.5 U	0.34 J	2.5 U	1 J
Chrysene	μg/L	25	0.095 U	0.0041 J	0.095 U	0.095 U
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	μg/L	0.025	0.095 U	0.0035 J	0.095 U	0.095 U
Di-n-butylphthalate	μg/L	900	0.85 B	1.9 B	1.2	1.2
Fluoranthene	μg/L	800	0.095 U	0.0097 J	0.095 U	0.095 U
Fluorene	μg/L	290	0.033 J	0.0063 J	0.095 U	0.054 J
Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	μg/L	0.25	0.095 U	0.0038 J	0.095 U	0.095 U
Naphthalene	μg/L	0.12	0.32	0.029 J	0.19	0.59
Phenanthrene	μg/L		0.026 J	0.016 J	0.095 U	0.055 J
Phenol	μg/L	5,800	0.99 U	1 U	0.22 J	1.6
Pyrene	μg/L	120	0.095 U	0.0075 J	0.095 U	0.095 U
TPH/Oil and Grease						
Diesel Range Organics	μg/L	47	240	270	200	320
Oil and Grease	μg/L	47	1,500 J	1,100 J	4,750 U	4,750 U

#### **Detections in bold**

#### Values in red indicate an exceedance of the Project Action Limit (PAL)

\* indicates non-validated data

^ PAH compounds were analyzed via SIM

U: This analyte was not detected in the sample. The numeric value represents the sample quantitation/detection limit

J: The positive result reported for this analyte is a quantitative estimate.

B: This analyte was not detected substantially above the level of the associated method blank or field blank.

# Table 6 - Parcel B19 AST CharacterizationSummary of Inorganics Detected in Groundwater

Deremeter	Unita	DAI	B19-044-PZ*	B19-046-PZ*	B19-047-PZ*	B19-048-PZ*
Parameter	Units	PAL	5/26/2020	5/28/2020	5/26/2020	5/26/2020
Dissolved Metals						
Aluminum, Dissolved	μg/L	20,000	338	316	242	2,970
Arsenic, Dissolved	μg/L	10	14.9	2.2 J	5 U	4.5 J
Barium, Dissolved	μg/L	2,000	83	48.4	17.6	86.1
Beryllium, Dissolved	μg/L	4	1 U	1 U	1.1	1 U
Cadmium, Dissolved	μg/L	5	3 U	3 U	0.52 J	3 U
Chromium, Dissolved	μg/L	100	0.94 J	0.81 J	1.4 J	0.87 J
Cobalt, Dissolved	μg/L	6	5 U	5 U	76.6	5 U
Copper, Dissolved	μg/L	1,300	5 U	5 U	5 U	10
Iron, Dissolved	μg/L	14,000	45.2 J	70 U	19,800	70 U
Manganese, Dissolved	μg/L	430	51.3	17.7	4,300	5 U
Nickel, Dissolved	μg/L	390	10 U	10 U	64.9	2.9 J
Selenium, Dissolved	μg/L	50	5.5 J	13	8 U	8 U
Vanadium, Dissolved	μg/L	86	26.2	61.5	5 U	1.1 J
Zinc, Dissolved	μg/L	6,000	10 U	10 U	133	10 U
Other						
Cyanide	μg/L	200	11	10 U	6.5 J	5.9 J

#### **Detections in bold**

#### Values in red indicate an exceedance of the Proejct Action Limit (PAL)

\* indicates non-validated data

U: This analyte was not detected in the sample. The numeric value represents the sample quantitation/detection limit.

J: The positive value reported for this analyte is a quantitative estimate.

# Table 7 - Parcel B19 AST CharacterizationCumulative Vapor Intrusion Comparison

				B19-0	44-PZ	B19-046-PZ		B19-047-PZ		B19-048-PZ	
		5/26/2020 5/28/2020		2020	5/26/2020		5/26/2020				
Parameter	Туре	Organ Systems	VI Screening Criteria (ug/L)	Conc. (ug/L)	Risk/ Hazard	Conc. (ug/L)	Risk/ Hazard	Conc. (ug/L)	Risk/ Hazard	Conc. (ug/L)	Risk/ Hazard
Cancer Risk	Cancer Risk										
1,4-Dioxane	SVOC		130,000	0.099 U	0	0.1 U	0	0.14	1.1E-11	0.098 U	0
Naphthalene	SVOC		200	0.32	1.6E-08	0.029 J	1.5E-09	0.19	9.5E-09	0.59	3.0E-08
Cu	mulative Va	apor Intrusio	n Cancer Risk		2E-08		1E-09		1E-08		3E-08
Non-Cancer Hazard	Von-Cancer Hazard										
Cumulative	e Vapor Inti	rusion Non-O	Cancer Hazard		0		0		0		0

Highlighted values indicate exceedances of the cumulative vapor intrusion criteria: TCR>1E-05 or THI>1

Conc. = Concentration

U: This analyte was not detected in the sample. The numeric value represents the sample quantitation/detection limit.

J: The positive result reported for this analyte is a quantitative estimate.

n n n n n n n n

"

"

"

# **APPENDIX A**

## 11

- " "

#### Parcel B19 AST Characterization Sampling Plan Summary Former Sparrows Point Steel Mill Sparrows Point, Maryland

#### Table 1 - Soil Sampling Summary

Source Area/ Description	REC & Finding/ SWMU/ AOC	Figure or Drawing of Reference	Rationale	Number of Locations	Sample Locations	Boring Depth	Sample Depth	Analytical Parameters: Soil Samples
Former ASTs		Site Visit	Investigate potential impacts related to former aboveground storage tanks (potential leaks or releases).	6	B19-043 through B19-048	Total depth of 20 feet or groundwater.	0-1', 4-5', 9-10' bgs. 4-5' interval may be adjusted in the field based on observations or field screening.	VOC^, SVOC, Metals, DRO/GRO, O&G, PCBs (0-1')
			Total:	6				

VOCs - Volatile Organic Compounds (Target Compound List)

<sup>^</sup>VOCs are only collected if the PID reading exceeds 10 ppm SVOCs - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (Target Compound List)

Metals - (Target Analyte List plus Hexavalent Chromium and Cyanide)

O&G - Oil and Grease

DRO/GRO - Diesel Range Organics/Gasoline Range Organics

PCBs - Polychlorinated Biphenyls

bgs - Below Ground Surface

#### Parcel B19 AST Characterization Sampling Plan Summary Former Sparrows Point Steel Mill Sparrows Point, Maryland

				1	<u> </u>			
Source Area/ Description	REC & Finding/ SWMU/ AOC	Figure or Drawing of Reference	Condition of Existing Well	Number of Locations	Sample Locations	Boring Depth	Screen Interval	Analytical Parameters: Groundwater Samples
Former ASTs		Site Visit	N/A	4	B19-044, B19-046 through B19-048	Total depth of 7 feet below water table.	7 feet below water table to 3 feet above water table.	VOC, SVOC, Metals (dissolved), Cyanide (total), O&G, DRO/GRO
			Total:	4				

Field measurements include pH, DO, ORP, conductivity, temperature. Metals analysis will include dissolved hexavalent chromium VOCs - Volatile Organic Compounds (Target Compound List)

SVOCs - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (Target Compound List)

Metals - (Target Analyte List plus Hexavalent Chromium and Cyanide)

O&G - Oil and Grease

DRO/GRO - Diesel Range Organics/Gasoline Range Organics

n n n n n n n n n

"

"

"

# **APPENDIX B**

## "

- "

<b>Male</b>	ARM Group LLC Engineers and Scientists Boring ID: B19-043-SB			ntists	Client: EnviroAnalytics GroupARM Project No.: 20010219Project Description: Sparrows Point - Parcel B19Site Location: Sparrows Point, MDARM Representative: L. PerrinChecked by: M. Replogle, E.I.T.Drilling Company: GSI		Date Weat North	her ing (US ft)	: 05/20/2020 : Cloudy, 50s : 565345.81	
E	Boring	g ID: E	319-043-S	SB	Drilling Company Driller Drilling Equipment	: GSI : Don Marchese : Geoprobe 7822DT	Easti	ng (US ft)	: 1461996.61	
			(page 1	of 1)				Ι		
Depth (ft.)	% Recovery	PID Reading (PPM)	Sample No/Interval		DESC	RIPTION		USCS	REMARKS	
0-		-	B19-043-SB-1	(0-2') SL/ grayish b non-cohe	AG, SAND and GRAV rown and gray, very r sive	/EL-sized, medium dense, noist, non-plastic,		SWIGW		
		-								
-	60	0.0		(2-5') SL/ dense, lig	AG GRAVEL, fine to c	coarse, medium dense to non-plastic, non-cohesive			Wet at 3' bgs	
		0.0						GW		
5-		0.0								
5-				End of Bo	pring					
Boring te	erminated	at 5' bgs	due to water and	d refusal.						

06-04-2020 \\mdfs01\Projects\EnviroAnalytics Group\20010219 EAG Parcel B19\Boring Logs\2\_bor Logs\B19-043-SB.bor



E	Boring	ARN Engi	Group incers and Scie 319-045-S (page 1	o LLC ntists	Client ARM Project No. Project Description Site Location ARM Representative Checked by Drilling Company Driller Drilling Equipment	: EnviroAnalytics Group : 20010219 : Sparrows Point - Parcel B19 : Sparrows Point, MD : L. Perrin : M. Replogle, E.I.T. : GSI : Don Marchese : Geoprobe 7822DT	Date Weat North Eastir	her ing (US ft) ng (US ft)	: 05/20/2020 : Cloudy, 50s : 565378.15 : 1462294.78	
Depth (ft.)	% Recovery	PID Reading (PPM)	Sample No/Interval		DESC	RIPTION		USCS	REMARKS	
0-		-	B19-045-SB-1	(0-1.2') S dense, gr non-cohe	LAG, SAND and GRA ayish brown and light sive	VEL-sized, loose to mediun gray, very moist, non-plasti	n c,	SW/GW		
-	86	0.0		(1.2-3.7') dense, li <u>c</u>	SLAG GRAVEL, fine ht gray, wet, non-pla	to coarse, medium dense to stic, non-cohesive, trace SIL	T	GW Wet at 1.7' bgs		
-		0.0		(3.7-5') S brownish non-cohe	ILTY SAND, very fine gray with yellowish b sive	to fine, dense, black then lig rown, wet, non-plastic,	ght	SM		
5—				End of Bo	pring					
Boring te	erminated	at 5' bgs	due to water.							

Bo	oring I	ARN Engi D: B1	9-046-SB	o LLC ntists	Client: EnviroAnalytics GroupARM Project No.: 20010219Project Description: Sparrows Point - Parcel B19Site Location: Sparrows Point, MDARM Representative: L. PerrinChecked by: M. Replogle, E.I.T.Drilling Company: GSIDriller: Don MarcheseDrilling Equipment: Geoprobe 7822DT			Soil B Piezo Casin Boreh Riser/ Northi Eastir 48-Hr No LN	oring Installation Date meter Installation Date g/Riser/Screen Type ole Diameter Screen Diameter ng (US ft) g (US ft) DTW IAPL or DNAPL detected	: 05/20/2020 : 05/20/2020 : PVC : 2.25" : 1" : 565302.60 : 1462373.73 : 3.51' TOC l at 0 or 48 hours
Depth (ft.)	% Recovery	PID Reading (PPM)	Sample No/Interval		DESCRIPTIC	N	SSSU		—1" PVC Riser	REMARKS
0	88	- 0.0 0.0	B19-046-SB-1	(0-3') SL/ medium ( moist, no cobbles	AG GRAVEL, fine to o dense, gray, moist gra plasticity, no cohesic	coarse, ading to very n, trace	GW		Bentonite Seal	
		0.0 0.0	B19-046-SB-5	(3-8') CL/ with redd low plasti	AY, very firm to hard, ish yellow mottling, di city, cohesive, trace \$	pale brown ry to moist, SAND				
-	90	0.0 0.0 0.0					CL		— Sand Pack	
-		0.0 0.0		(8-10.2') fine, dens reddish y non-cohe	SAND with CLAY, ver se, light grayish brown ellow mottling, wet, n sive	ry fine to ı with on-plastic,	SW-SC			Wet at 8' bgs
- 10		-		(10.2-11') yellow, ve	) SANDY CLAY, soft, ery moist, low plasticit	reddish ty, cohesive	CL			
_	00	0.0		plasticity,	cohesive	moist, iow				
_	00	0.0					CL			
-		0.0								
15-				End of Bo	pring				сна Сар	
Boring to TOC: To DTW: Do bgs: Bel	Boring terminated at 15' bgs due to water and piezometer installationRiser Stickup: 3.10' agsTOC: Top of PVC casingRiser: 0 - 3' bgsDTW: Depth to waterScreen: 3 - 15' bgs [Slot Size: 0.010"]bgs: Below ground surfaceSand Pack: 2 - 15' bgs [Grain Size: WG #2]Bentonite Seal: 0 - 2' bgs [Grain Size: bentonite chips]									

ARM Group LLC Engineers and Scientists Boring ID: B19-047-SB/PZ (page 1 of 1)				LLC ntists	Client: EnviroAnalytics GroupARM Project No.: 20010219Project Description: Sparrows Point - Parcel B19Site Location: Sparrows Point, MDARM Representative: L. PerrinChecked by: M. Replogle, E.I.T.Drilling Company: GSIDriller: Don MarcheseDrilling Equipment: Geoprobe 7822DT			Soil B Piezor Casing Boreh Riser/ Northi Eastin 48-Hr No LN	oring Installation Date meter Installation Date g/Riser/Screen Type ole Diameter Screen Diameter ng (US ft) g (US ft) DTW APL or DNAPL detected	: 05/20/2020 : 05/20/2020 : PVC : 2.25" : 1" : 565081.89 : 1462050.08 : 3.91' TOC I at 0 or 48 hours
			(page 1	of 1)			<u>г г</u>			I
Depth (ft.)	% Recovery	PID Reading (PPM)	Sample No/Interval		DESCRIPTIO	N	nscs	ſ	—1" PVC Riser	REMARKS
0-		0.0	B19-047-SB-1	(0-1.2') S SAND-siz medium o	LAG GRAVEL with so zed SLAG and CLAY, dense, gray with red, o	ome loose to dry, no	GW _	▼	Bentonite Seal	
_	02	0.0		(1.2-2.7') SAND, ha cohesive	CLAY with trace very ard, brown, dry, low pl	fine lasticity,	CL		•	
_	92	0.0		(2.7-5.4') bgs, light with gree	CLAY, very firm then brown and light grayi n and reddish yellow to c at 4.5' bas, moist to	soft at 4.5' sh brown then brown				
		0.0	B19-047-SB-5	cohesive	( at 4.0 bgs, moist, io	ν ριαδιιοίτy,	CL			
-		0.0		(5.4-6') C brown wit	LAY with SAND, very th reddish yellow mott	firm, light ling, moist,	CL		—Sand Pack	Wet at 6' bgs
_	100	0.0		(6-8.3') S yellow an plasticity,	AND with CLAY, dens d light brownish gray, cohesive	se, reddish moist, low	SW-SC			
-		0.0		(8.3-10')	CLAY, hard, reddish y	vellow and			1" PVC Screen	
- 10-		0.0		cohesive	, trace SAND	photiony,	CL			
-		-		(10-15') N	lo Recovery					
-	0	-								
_	U	-							End Cap	
-		-							Collapsed Soil	
15—			<u> </u>	End of Bo	pring				J	
Boring to TOC: To DTW: Do bgs: Bel	Boring terminated at 13' bgs due to water and piezometer installation       Riser Stickup: 3.18' ags         TOC: Top of PVC casing       Riser: 0 - 3' bgs         DTW: Depth to water       Screen: 3 - 13' bgs [Slot Size: 0.010"]         bgs: Below ground surface       Sand Pack: 2 - 13' bgs [Grain Size: WG #2]         Bentonite Seal: 0 - 2' bgs [Grain Size: bentonite chips]									

Bo	oring I	ARN Engi	I Group incers and Scient 9-048-SB (page 1	LLC ntists /PZ of 1)	Client: EnviroAnalytics GroupARM Project No.: 20010219Project Description: Sparrows Point - Parcel B19Site Location: Sparrows Point, MDARM Representative: L. PerrinChecked by: M. Replogle, E.I.T.Drilling Company: GSIDriller: Don MarcheseDrilling Equipment: Geoprobe 7822DT			Soil Bo Piezon Casing Boreho Riser/S Northir Easting 48-Hr I No LN	oring Installation Date neter Installation Date //Riser/Screen Type ble Diameter Screen Diameter ng (US ft) g (US ft) DTW APL or DNAPL detected	: 05/20/2020 : 05/20/2020 : PVC : 2.25" : 1" : 564991.63 : 1461821.83 : 5.46' TOC t at 0 or 48 hours
Depth (ft.)	% Recovery	PID Reading (PPM)	Sample No/Interval		DESCRIPTIC	N	nscs		—1" PVC Riser	REMARKS
0	100	0.0	B19-048-SB-1	(0-2.9') N SAND an dark brov	lon-native SAND and ld GRAVEL sized, me vn, dry, no plasticity, r	SLAG, edium dense, no cohesion	SW/GW		—Bentonite Seal	trace organics and clay at surface
		0.0 0.0	B19-048-SB-5	(2.9-7') C hard grac to yellowi brown, dr cohesive	LAY with trace very fi ding to very firm, brow ish bown and light gra by grading to moist, lo	ine SAND, m gradinig ayish w plasticity,	CL			
-		0.0 0.0		(7-8.4') C	LAYEY SAND, dense	e, reddish			— Sand Pack	Wet at 7' bgs
-	78	0.0		yellow an plasticity, (8.4-9.6') reddish y moist, lov	d light grayish brown cohesive CLAY with SAND, ve ellow and light grayis v plasticity, cohesive	, wet, low ery firm, h brown,	SC CL		-1" PVC Screen	
10		0.0 - 0.0		(9.6-12.5 dense, lig 10.4' bgs	) SAND, very fine to ght gray, then reddish , wet, no plasticity, no	medium, yellow at o cohesion	sw			
-	92	0.0 0.0		(12.5-15') brown, ve	) CLAY, soft to firm, li ery moist, low plasticit	ght grayish y, cohesive	CL		- End Cap	
15—		0.0		End of Bo	pring				- Collapsed Soil	
Boring te Piezome TOC: To DTW: D bgs: Bel	Boring terminated at 15' bgs due to water and piezometer installation       Riser Stickup: 3.13' ags         Piezometer installed to 14' bgs       Riser: 0 - 3' bgs         TOC: Top of PVC casing       Screen: 3 - 14' bgs [Slot Size: 0.010"]         DTW: Depth to water       Sand Pack: 2 - 14' bgs [Grain Size: WG #2]         bgs: Below ground surface       Bentonite Seal: 0 - 2' bgs [Grain Size: bentonite chips]									

06-04-2020 \\mdfs01\Projects\EnviroAnalytics Group\20010219 EAG Parcel B19\Boring Logs\2\_.bor Logs\B19-048-SB PZ.bor

# **APPENDIX C**

PID CALIBRATION LOG											
PROJECT NAME: Area B, Parcel B19 AST Characterization SAMPLER NAME: L. Parker											
PROJECT NUMBE	ER: 20010219			DATE: May 2	0, 2020	PAGE <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>					
	SAMPLER		FRESH		STANDARD						
DATE/TIME	INITIALS	PID SERIAL #	AIR CAL	STANDARD	CONCENTRATION	METER READING	COMMENTS				
5/20/2020 8:30	5/20/2020 8:30         LLP         592-913262         0.0         Isobutylene         100 ppm         100.0         -										

# **APPENDIX D**

Ι	Low Flow Permane	ling Ils		ARM Group Inc. Earth Resource Engineers and Consultance						
					Deplant Mun	her				
Project Name:	SIT P2				Data:					
Well Number:	B19-044-PE				One Well V	L()L0				
Well Diameter (	(in):				OED Contro	llor Setting				
Depth to Produc	et (ft):nonp				QED Contro	mL (min) US	- 6			
Depth to Water	(ft): 3, 33	_			Flow Rate (I	nL/min) $\gamma$	> () min)			
Product Thickne	ess (ft): -				Condition of Pad/Cover:					
Depth to Botton	n (ft): 13,1[			BUDCI	NC RECORI	I Fau/Cover				
	PURGI	Enosifie	Dissolved	1						
Time	Volume Purged (gallons)	DTW (feet)	Temp (°C)	pH (s.u.) ± 0.1	Conductance (ms/cm) ± 3%	Oxygen (mg/L) ± 0.3	ORP (mV) ± 10	Turbidity (NTU) ± 10% or < 5	Comments	
1020	1,5		17.9	9.67	1,710	4.15	-138.3	64.8		
1055	2.1		18.5	9,64	1.673	2.88	-172.7	29.1		
1100	2,7		18.2	10.08	1.648	2.41	-215.5	15.4		
1105	3,3		R.O	10.50	1.638	2.10	-2543	11.4		
1110	3.9		18.3	10,59	1.631	1.90	-275,0	5.66		
1115	4.5		17.9	10.62	1.625	1,28	-2848	2,61		
1120	511		18.1	10.68	1.623	1,71	-291.4	3,26		
-							_			
The second second	10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -		MO	NITORING	S SAMPLE F	RECORD				
Sampl	le ID	Time (	Collected	Parame	eter/Order	Cont	ainer	Perservative	Collected?	
		1	/	TCL	-VOCs	3 - 40 m	L VOA	HC1		
B19-044-P2		125		TPH-GRO		3 - 40 mL VOA		HCl		
1-				TPH	I-DRO	2 - 1 L	- 1 L Amber none			
				TCL	-SVOCs	2-1L	Amber	none		
				Oil 8	z Grease	2-1L	Amber	HCl		
				TAL-	Metals &	1 - 250 m	nL Plastic	HNO3		
				Mercu	ry (total)					
				Hexavale	nt Unromium	1 - 250 m	nL Plastic	none		
				(1 Total	Cvanide	1 - 250 m	L Plastic	NaOH		
					Metals &					
				Mercury	(Dissolved)	1 - 250 m	L Plastic	HNO3	9	
				Field	Filtered					
					_ 11001 04	1		1		
				Hexavale	nt Chromium	1	T DI			
				(Dis	ssolved)	1 - 250 n	nL Plastic	none		
Fiel					Filtered					
					PCB	2 - 1 L	Amber	None		
				Matrix Spil	ce					
			~	Duplicate						
	1		Comme	nts:	9					
Sampled	By: [m(									
Casing Volume: 1" I.D. = 0.041 gal/ft - 2" I.D. = 0.163 gal/ft - 4" I.D. = 0.653 gal/ft - 6" I.D. = 1.47 gal/ft ft x										

1	Low Flow Permane	ling lls		ARM Group Inc. Earth Resource Engineers and Consultants						
Project Name: F	319 12				Project Num	ber:				
Well Number:	819-0460-	P2			Date: 05/18	\$11020				
Well Diameter	(in): 1				One Well Vo	olume (gal):				
Depth to Produc	ct (ft): n0 n0				QED Contro	ller Setting	5:			
Depth to Water	(ft): 3, 91				Flow Rate (r	nL/min)31	5			
Product Thickne	ess (ft): ~				Length of time Purged (min)					
Depth to Botton	n (ft): 16.04				Condition of	f Pad/Cover	:	/		
				PURGI	NG RECORI					
Time	Volume Purged (gallons)	DTW (feet)	Temp (°C)	pH (s.u.) ± 0.1	Specific Conductance (ms/cm) $\pm 3\%$	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) ± 0.3	ORP (mV) ± 10	Turbidity (NTU) ± 10% or < 5	Comments	
1315		T	19.3	10.35	1.1.22	2.69	-166.0	11.7		
1320	1.3		19.4	10,58	1.629	2.40	-16600	5.92		
1325	1.6		18.9	10,70	1.431	2,19	-167.3	8.62		
1330	1.9		18.9	18,24	1.1.20	1.92	-177.0	2.11		
1335	2:3		19.0	10.72	1,629	1,82	-122.	14.46		
							1			
NEW YORK AND A STORY			MO	NITORING	SAMPLE R	ECORD	2.0	and share as	Carlo and the second	
Sampl	e ID	Time (	Collected	Parame	eter/Order	Cont	ainer	Perservative	Collected?	
Sampi				TCI	-VOCs	3 - 40 m	L VOA	HC1		
		1345		TPF	I-GRO 3 - 40 mL VOA HCl					
				TPH	I-DRO	2 - 1 L	Amber	none		
				TCL	SVOCs	2-1L	Amber	none		
				Oil &	c Grease	2-1L	Amber	HCl		
				TAL-	Metals &	1 250 m	I Plastic	HNO3		
				Mercu	ry (total)	1 - 250 II.		111(05		
				Hexavaler (t	nt Chromium otal)	1 - 250 m	L Plastic	none		
				Total	Cyanide	1 - 250 m	L Plastic	NaOH		
				TAL-	Metals &					
		1		Mercury	(Dissolved)	1 - 250 m	L Plastic	HNO3		
				Field	Filtered					
Hexaval					nt Chromium	1 - 250 m	I. Plastic	none		
Fiel					Filtered					
		1					A1			
					reb	2 - 1 L	Amber	None		
			V	Matrix Spik	<u>.e</u>					
		_	I.a.	Duphcate						
Sampled	By: 1mg		Comme	nts:						
	<u>Casing V</u>	olume: 1"	<b></b> = 0.041	gal/ft - 2" I.D. ft ×	= 0.163 gal/ft - 4' gal/ft =	" <b>I.D.</b> = 0.653 (gal)	gal/ft - 6" I.I	<b>).</b> = 1.47 gal/ft		

I	Low Flow Permane	ling IIs		ARM Group Inc. Earth Resource Engineers and Consultants						
	I CI Inane	int vv t								
Project Name: 2	319 P2				Project Num	ber:				
Well Number:	319-047-1	Z			Date: 05/2	011020				
Well Diameter (	in): \				One well vo	llen Cotting				
Depth to Produc	t (ft): $\eta_{QV \setminus 0}$			-	Eleve Rote (ml/min) //SCO					
Depth to Water	(ft):3,91				Flow Rate (mL/min) 450					
Product Thickne	ess (ft):				Length of th	ne Purgea (	min)	/		
Depth to Botton	n (ft): 15,02			DUDO	Condition of	r Pad/Cover		1	Contraction of the second	
				PURG	NG RECORI	Dissolved				
Time	Volume Purged (gallons)	DTW (feet)	Temp (°C)	pH (s.u.) ± 0.1	Specific Conductance (ms/cm) ± 3%	Oxygen (mg/L) ± 0.3	ORP (mV) ± 10	Turbidity (NTU) ± 10% or < 5	Comments	
0940	2	.96	16.0	5.94	0.846	2,94	-12,2	77.4		
0945	2.6	. 97	15,9	5.83	0,826	2,55	-413	61.8		
6950	3.2	, 96	16.1	5.82	5,38,0	2.32	-3.5	46.2		
2290	2.8		16.1	5.35	10.819	2,15	2.6	33.3		
					·					
									*	
				the manner						
	The state of the		MC	DNITORIN	G SAMPLE F	RECORD				
Sampl	le ID	Time (	Collected	Param	eter/Order	Cont	ainer	Perservative	Collected?	
				TCI	L-VOCs	3 - 40 m	L VOA	HCl		
07	7	1000	)	TP	H-GRO	3 - 40 m	L VOA	HC1		
10-047-Pt	4			TP	H-DRO	2-1L	Amber	none		
8101				TCL	,-SVOCs	2-1L	Amber	none		
				Oil	& Grease	<u>2-1L</u>	Amber	HCI		
		1		TAL	-Metals &	1 - 250 n	nL Plastic	HNO3		
				Merc	ury (total)					
		1		Hexavale	total)	1 - 250 n	nL Plastic	none		
				Tota	Cvanide	1 - 250 n	L Plastic	NaOH		
				TAL	-Metals &	1				
		1		Mercury	(Dissolved)	1 - 250 n	nL Plastic	HNO3		
				Field	I Filtered					
ŀ			Hexavale (Di	ent Chromiun ssolved)	1 - 250 n	nL Plastic	none			
			FICIL			A 1				
					PCB	<b>2</b> -1L	Amber	None		
				Matrix Spi	ke					
			10	Duplicate	ð	_				
Sampled	By: LANG		Comme	ents:						
	Casing	olume: 1"	<b>I.D.</b> = 0.041	gal/ft - 2" I.D ft x	. = 0.163 gal/ft - 4 gal/ft =	" <b>I.D.</b> = 0.653 (gal)	gal/ft - <b>6" I.</b> I	<b>D.</b> = 1.47 gal/ft		

						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
Low Flow Sampling					ARM Group Inc.						
Pormanent Wells				Earth Resource Engineers and Consultants							
Project Name: B 9 P2					Project Number:						
Well Number: B19 - 0 48 - PZ					Date: 5/21/1020						
Well Diameter (	(in): \				One Well V	olume (gal)					
Depth to Produc	ct (ft): non				QED Controller Settings:						
Depth to Water	(ft): 5,46				Flow Rate (mL/min)						
Product Thickne	ess (ft):-				Length of time Purged (min)						
Depth to Botton	n (ft): 16,8(	e			Condition of Pad/Cover: /						
		y and	-	PURGI	NG RECORI	Discolar	1				
	Volume		Temp	pН	Specific Conductance	Oxvgen	ORP	Turbidity			
Time	Purged	(feet)	(°C)	(s.u.)	(ms/cm)	(mg/L)	(mV) + 10	(NTU) + 10% or < 5	Comments		
	(gallons)			± 0.1	± 3%	± 0.3	± 10	± 10/0 UL > J			
1340	2	5.50	18.4	12,58	5.37	4.36	1628	38.			
1345	2.5	1,54	18.3	12,59	7.888	3.27	-213.1	26.8			
1350	3	.58	17.8	12.61	4.813	3,15	-21612	10,4			
1355	3.5		18.2	12.59	4,624	2,99	-214.7	6.58			
							ļ				
						Econo			AND STREET, STREET, STREET, ST		
	Partie Suit	1.1.1	MO	NITORING	S SAMPLE F	GECORD			0.11 / 19		
Sampl	le ID	Time C	Collected	Parame	eter/Order Container		Perservative	Collected?			
	0	MON		TCL-VOCs		3 - 40 mL VOA		HCI			
B19-048	-PE	1700	J	TPH-GRO		3 - 40 mL VOA		HUI			
						2 - 1 L 2_ 1 T	2 - I L Amber				
					Grease	2-1L 2-1L	Amber	HCl			
				TAL-	Metals &	1 000	T DI	IDIOA			
				Mercu	iry (total)	1 - 250 n	IL Plastic	HNO3			
		1	Hexa		Hexavalent Chromium		I. Plastic	none			
					otal)	1 - 250 II					
				Total	Cyanide	<u>1 - 250 n</u>	nL Plastic	NaOH			
		1		TAL-	Metals &	1 050	T D1				
				Mercury	(Dissolved)	1 - 250 n	IL Plastic	HINU3			
		1		Field	rittered						
			Hexavale		nt Chromium	ım					
				(Dis	ssolved)	1 - 250 mL Plastic		none			
			Field Filtered								
P					РСВ	2 - 1 L	Amber	None			
			]	Matrix Spik	ce						
				Duplicate							
			Comme	nts:							
Sampled	By: MG										
							110	D 1 47 140	- 4		
	Casing '	Volume: 1"	I.D. = 0.041	gal/ft - 2" I.D.	= 0.163 gal/ft - 4	<b>" I.D.</b> = 0.653	gal/ft - 6" I.I	<b>).</b> = 1.47 gal/ft			

# **APPENDIX E**

### Parcel B19 AST Investigation - IDW Drum Log

Drum Identification Number	Designation	Activity/Phase	Contents	<b>Open Date</b>
1403-Soil-5/20/20-B19	Non-Haz	Parcel B19 AST Investigation	Soil	5/20/2020
1391-Decon Water-4/14/20-B24/A14/A18/A17/B20/B22/B19	Non-Haz	Parcel B19 AST Investigation	Water	4/14/2020
1408-Purge Water-5/18/2020-GLF/PORI/B19	Non-Haz	Parcel B19 AST Investigation	Water	5/18/2020

# **APPENDIX F**

# QA/QC Tracking Log

<u>Trip</u> Dlanki				- - D	<u>Trip</u>	D.				
Blank:	Date:	Sample IDs:		B	Nank:	Date:		Sample IDs:		
X		1) B19-044-SB-1	Pace Analytical		Х		1)	B19-044-PZ	-	
		2) B19-044-SB-4	(All parameters except			5/26/2020	2)	B19-047-PZ		
		3) B19-043-SB-1	1 AII)				3)	B19-048-PZ	_	
		4) B19-046-SB-1			Х	5/28/2020	4)	B19-046-PZ	-	
	5/20/2020	5) B19-046-SB-5					5)			
	5/20/2020	6) B19-045-SB-1					6)			
		7) B19-047-SB-1	Duplicate: B19-046-SB-1				7)		Duplicate:	B19-044-PZ
		8) B19-047-SB-5	Date: 5/20/2020				8)		Date:	5/26/2020
		9) B19-048-SB-1	MS/MSD: B19-046-SB-5				9)		MS/MSD:	B19-046-PZ
		10) B19-048-SB-5	Date: 5/20/2020				10)		Date:	5/28/2020
		11)	Field Blank Field Blank				11)		Field Blank	1515
		12)	Date: 5/20/2020				12)		Date <sup>.</sup>	5/28/2020
		13)	Eq. Blank: EO Blank				12)		Ea Blank:	512012020
		13)	$\frac{\text{Eq. Dialik.}}{\text{Data:}} = \frac{5}{20} \frac{2020}{2020}$				13)		Data	
		14)	Date: 5/20/2020				14)		Date:	
		15)	-				15)			
		16)					16)		-	
		17)	-				17)			
		18)	-				18)		-	
		19)					19)		-	
		20)					20)			
									1	
		1) B19-044-SB-1	Alpha Labs				1)			
		2) B19-044-SB-4	(PAH Analysis Only)				2)			
		3) B19-043-SB-1					3)			
		4) B19-046-SB-1					4)			
	5/20/2020	5) B19-046-SB-5					5)			
	5/20/2020	6) B19-045-SB-1					6)			
		7) B19-047-SB-1	Duplicate: B19-047-SB-5				7)		Duplicate:	
		8) B19-047-SB-5	Date: 5/20/2020				8)		Date	
		9) B19-048-SB-1	MS/MSD: B19-045-SB-1				9) 9)		MS/MSD.	
		10) B19 0/8 SB 5	Date: 5/20/2020				10)		Date:	
		11)	Field Plank Field Plank				10)		Field Dlenk	
		11)	Deter 5/20/2020				11)		Deter	<u>.</u>
		12)	Date: $3/20/2020$				12)			
		15)	Eq. Blank: EQ Blank	$\vdash$			13)		Eq. Blank:	
		14)	Date: 5/20/2020				14)		Date:	
		15)	-				15)		4	
		16)					16)		4	
		17)					17)		4	
		18)					18)		4	
		19)					19)			
		20)					20)			

Soil samples with a sustained PID reading of 10 ppm or greater were collected for VOCs. VOC samples were placed in a cooler with a trip blank.

# CRRGPFKZ'I "

# Well/Piezometer ID: B19-044-PZ

## **General Project Information:**

Client: EAG

Site Location: Sparrows Point, MD

Parcel ID: B19

Abandonment Date: 6/1/20

Abandonment Contractor: GSI

Abandonment Method (circle appropriate):

- 1. PVC  $\rightarrow$  Qulled Split / Perforated / Left-In-Place
- 2. Abandoned  $\rightarrow$  Grout / Gentonite Chips

Field Equipment: Geoprobe 7822DT

ARM Representative(s): L. Perrin

Well Diameter: \_\_\_1"\_\_\_\_\_

Depth to Bottom (TOC)	Final Gauging Prior to Abandonment:
Reported (historical/log): 13.11'	Depth to Water (TOC): 4.00'
Measured: 13.11'	Depth to NAPL (TOC): No DNAPL/LNAPL

Please note if this abandonment is for a known NAPL delineation/monitoring area or individual NAPL screening piezometer and identify the name of the delineation area (e.g., B6-066 NAPL Area or B5-144 Screening Piezometer): <u>B19 AST Characterization</u>

**Please Note:** If NAPL is identified in a piezometer, the Project Manager should be notified and the piezometer may not be abandoned unless the presence of NAPL is already known and a decision has been made to abandon the NAPL monitoring network.

### Additional Comments (if any):



# Well/Piezometer ID: B19-046-PZ

## **General Project Information:**

Client: EAG

Site Location: Sparrows Point, MD

Parcel ID: B19

Abandonment Date: 6/1/20

Abandonment Contractor: GSI

Abandonment Method (circle appropriate):

- 1. PVC  $\rightarrow$  fulled Split / Perforated / Left-In-Place
- 2. Abandoned  $\rightarrow$  Grout Bentonite Chips

Field Equipment: Geoprobe 7822DT

ARM Representative(s): L. Perrin

Well Diameter: \_\_\_1"\_\_\_\_\_

Depth to Bottom (TOC)	Final Gauging Prior to Abandonment:
Reported (historical/log): 17.62'	Depth to Water (TOC): 4.10'
Measured: 17.10'	Depth to NAPL (TOC): No DNAPL/LNAPL

Please note if this abandonment is for a known NAPL delineation/monitoring area or individual NAPL screening piezometer and identify the name of the delineation area (e.g., B6-066 NAPL Area or B5-144 Screening Piezometer): <u>B19 AST Characterization</u>

**Please Note:** If NAPL is identified in a piezometer, the Project Manager should be notified and the piezometer may not be abandoned unless the presence of NAPL is already known and a decision has been made to abandon the NAPL monitoring network.

### Additional Comments (if any):



# Well/Piezometer ID: B19-047-PZ

## **General Project Information:**

Client: EAG

Site Location: Sparrows Point, MD

Parcel ID: B19

Abandonment Date: 6/1/20

Abandonment Contractor: GSI

Abandonment Method (circle appropriate):

- 1. PVC  $\rightarrow$  (ulled) Split / Perforated / Left-In-Place
- 2. Abandoned  $\rightarrow$  Grout / Rentonite Chips

Field Equipment: Geoprobe 7822DT

ARM Representative(s): L. Perrin

Well Diameter: \_\_\_1"\_\_\_\_\_

Depth to Bottom (TOC)	Final Gauging Prior to Abandonment:
Reported (historical/log): 15.72'	Depth to Water (TOC): 4.31'
Measured: 15.73'	Depth to NAPL (TOC): No DNAPL/LNAPL

Please note if this abandonment is for a known NAPL delineation/monitoring area or individual NAPL screening piezometer and identify the name of the delineation area (e.g., B6-066 NAPL Area or B5-144 Screening Piezometer): <u>B19 AST Characterization</u>

**Please Note:** If NAPL is identified in a piezometer, the Project Manager should be notified and the piezometer may not be abandoned unless the presence of NAPL is already known and a decision has been made to abandon the NAPL monitoring network.

### Additional Comments (if any):



# Well/Piezometer ID: B19-048-PZ

## **General Project Information:**

Client: EAG

Site Location: Sparrows Point, MD

Parcel ID: B19

Abandonment Date: 6/1/20

Abandonment Contractor: GSI

Abandonment Method (circle appropriate):

- 1. PVC  $\rightarrow$  (ulled) Split / Perforated / Left-In-Place
- 2. Abandoned  $\rightarrow$  Grout / Rentonite Chips

Field Equipment: Geoprobe 7822DT

ARM Representative(s): L. Perrin

Well Diameter: \_\_\_1"\_\_\_\_\_

Depth to Bottom (TOC)	Final Gauging Prior to Abandonment:
Reported (historical/log): 17.61'	Depth to Water (TOC): 5.86'
Measured: 17.57'	Depth to NAPL (TOC): No DNAPL/LNAPL

Please note if this abandonment is for a known NAPL delineation/monitoring area or individual NAPL screening piezometer and identify the name of the delineation area (e.g., B6-066 NAPL Area or B5-144 Screening Piezometer): <u>B19 AST Characterization</u>

**Please Note:** If NAPL is identified in a piezometer, the Project Manager should be notified and the piezometer may not be abandoned unless the presence of NAPL is already known and a decision has been made to abandon the NAPL monitoring network.

### Additional Comments (if any):

